Quality Issues in Agricultural Statistics as seen through the scheme of Improvement of Crop Statistics (ICS)



Agricultural Statistics Wing National Sample Survey Office (Field Operations Division) Faridabad

Introduction

- FOD of NSSO conducts Agricultural surveys through ICS Scheme, initiated in 1973-74
- 21 States, 1 UT and 44 crops are covered under ICS
- Crop Statistics- Area & Yield
- First introduced in 9 wheat growing States
- Evaluates the TRS, EARAS & CES Schemes
- Executed jointly by NSSO and SASA
- The joint responsibility takes the form of
 - joint selection of sample villages,
 - adoption of common instruction,
 - schedules, scrutiny and tabulation programmes and
 - exchange of raw data

ICS Scheme

Objective

- Studying the state system of crop estimation in its normal operative conditions
- Identifying the deficiencies in the system of area reporting and conduct of crop cutting experiments
- Quantifying the same and
- Suggesting remedial measures & providing technical guidance.
- The programme envisaged under the ICS scheme includes:

For sample check on :

-Area enumeration and Area aggregation, a sample of about 10,000 villages (1.6%)

-Supervision of crop cutting experiments a sample of about 31,000 experiments (3.3%) is drawn every year.

Role of NSSO

AS Wing of NSSO (FOD) is entrusted with responsibility of

- Providing technical guidance and assisting the States in developing suitable survey techniques for obtaining timely and reliable estimates on area and yield.
- Implementation of ICS Scheme at the centre and coordinate the work of State sample
- Exercising supervision over primary field work on area enumeration, aggregation and conduct of CCEs under ICS.
- For all aspects of ICS Scheme from the stage of preparation of survey design to data processing and publication of reports.
- Imparting training to State Field Officials
- Maintaining Liaison with State Govt. Officers/ ROs of NSSO

Sampling Design for Check on Area Enumeration (1.0)

Stratified Two-stage Random Sampling

- Stratum : Tehsil / CD Block /Anchal

(in Kerala & West Bengal : District is Stratum)

- First Stage Unit : Village

 Ultimate Sampling Unit :Survey numbers within village

- 4 clusters of 5 survey numbers each per village

Sampling Design for Check on Crop Cutting Expts. (2.0)

Stratified Two-stage Random Sampling

-Stratum : District

-Primary Unit : Village

-Ultimate Unit : Plot for Crop Cutting Experiment

Minimum 4 experiments in a District

2 experiments in each selected village

Check on Area Enumeration

- A broad Statistical check on area enumeration work carried out by primary workers.
- Designed to locate and identify avenues where improvement could be effected in the system of recording and reporting land use statistics.
- Sample for each State is fixed on joint consideration of crop area and resources.
- Sample villages are a sub-sample of TRS/EARAS villages.
- The check is done in each season

Check on Area Enumeration (Contd.)

The check consist of

- (i) locating a sample of four clusters of five survey numbers each
- (ii) Recording the actual use of land by physical observation and noting down the corresponding entries made by the Patwari in the village register
- Supervisor follows the procedure followed by the primary workers stipulated in State Land Record Manual.
- Attempts to evaluate the system of Girdawari and TRS
- The check not only probes qualitative aspects but also attempts to make quantitative assessment of discrepancies observed in area statistics
- The errors are (i) missing actual crops (ii) Reporting crops not sown and (iii) incorrect assessment

Check on Area Aggregation

- Consists of arriving at page wise totals of area of different crops as recorded in khashra reg. and comparing the same with the totals arrived by the patwari
- It is carried out in sample villages selected for check on area enumeration-10,000.
- It ascertains the accuracy in crop-wise area prepared by the primary workers
- It brings to focus discrepancies in the crop abstract and the impact of aggregation errors in crop area reporting

Check on Crop Cutting Experiments

Concurrent check

- Locates the weak and vulnerable areas in the conduct of CCE and reporting crop yield
- Examines adherence of the prescribed procedure for selection of survey number, locating and marking the plot, harvesting the crop and weighing the produce
- During the check, information on supply of equipments, training, irrigation, seed variety, use of pesticides etc. is collected

Deficiencies studied under ICS

- It is a study of non-sampling errors which can be classified as below
 - Observational error
 - eg. missing a crop
 - Recording and transcription errors
 - eg. Errors in recording details of land use in reg.
 - procedural errors
 - eg. errors due to departures from the prescribed procedure
 - errors due to non- response

Field Activities under ICS

- Organising and imparting training to primary workers
- Regular liaison with State Officials
- Checking Area Enumeration in villages
- Concurrent supervision of c.c. experiments
- Inspection of field work by Regional Officers
- Joint inspection of field work with State Officials
- Scrutiny of filled in schedules
- Dispatch of scrutinized schedule to AS Wing Faridabad

Analysis of Data

The data collected through the sample check programme under the scheme for ICS are analysed facilitating factual appraisal of the State systems with reference to the following:

- Timeliness in completion of area enumeration and working of TRS
- Discrepancies in recording crop and crop area, their frequency and impact
- Discrepancies in recording ancillary information, their frequency and impact
- Departures from the prescribed procedure for conducting crop cutting experiments and their impact

Remedial Measures

- →Supervisors of NSSO & SASA must be fully conversant with the various methods and procedures laid down in various State Land Record & CES Manuals and A S Manuals of NSSO.
- →Intensive training for Supervisors of NSSO & SASA to be arranged by AS Wing
- →Reduction of work load of primary workers
- \rightarrow A regular system needs to be evolved for collecting area statistics
- \rightarrow The system of un-recognised crop mixtures to be needs to be corrected
- \rightarrow A periodical review of GCES coverage in the States is needed
- → All the SASAs should calculate the standard error for the estimates of average yield for all the crops so as to assess the adequacy of sample size and also the reliability of estimates.
- \rightarrow Convening of meeting of HLCCs/ STCs at regular intervals needs to be pursued
- →The response rate for State sample needs to be improved to bring improvement in the quality of data collected.
- → Suitable action may be taken to ensure timely completion of Girdawari in all the States
- → Remedial measures are required to be taken for ensuring timely submission of TRS statements only after completing the girdawari.
- \rightarrow Regular updation of village maps is essential.

Remedial Measures (Contd.....)

→Steps need be taken to achieve the target of cent percent supervision of crop cutting experiments at the harvest stage.

→Deficiencies noticed through the sample checks need to be emphasized in the training camps organised for primary workers in the States.

→Position of supply of equipment for conduct of crop cutting experiments and their use by the primary workers need improvement.

→ Delegation of work to others including juniors for conducting crop cutting experiments to be discouraged.

→ Substitution of selected villages/fields for crop cutting experiments due to various reasons can be reduced by proper selection of sample villages, timely selection of field and proper liaison with cultivators, which needs to be stressed upon the primary workers.

Preparation of Status Reports

- The status reports for each season and for each state contain full account of the present position of estimation of agricultural production, the procedures followed for enumeration of area and yield, deficiencies observed in the system of crop statistics and the steps to be taken for improving the system of collection of agricultural statistics.
- The season-wise, state-wise reports entitled, "Review of Crop Statistics System in States through Scheme of Improvement of Crop Statistics" are prepared on the basis of the analyzed data of ICS.
- The All India report contains an overall account of the Status of Estimation of Crop Production in the country as also the technical details and results relating to the ICS Scheme for the States and UTs covered by it.
- It provides comparison of estimates based on sample checks under ICS, TRS, Final Forecasts and GCES.

Table - A WORKLOAD OF PATWARI

| Sl.No. | State | No. of villages | Survey / Sub survey Nos. per village('000') | Geographical Area per village ('000' Ha.) |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 3 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 2 | Assam | 11 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 3 | Bihar | 13 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| 4 | Chhattisgarh | 7 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| 5 | Gujarat | 3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 6 | Haryana | 5 | 3.6 | 1 |
| 7 | Himachal Pradesh | 11 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 8 | Jammu & Kashmir | 5 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| 9 | Jharkhand | 20 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 10 | Karnataka | 5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 11 | Kerala | # | # | # |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | 5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 13 | Maharashtra | 4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 14 | Orissa | 23 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| 15 | Punjab | 5 | 2.4 | 0.5 |
| 16 | Rajasthan | 7 | 0.8 | 1 |
| 17 | Tamil Nadu | 2 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| 18 | Uttar Pradesh | 7 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 19 | Uttarakhand | 11 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 20 | West Bengal | 3 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| 21 | Puducherry | 11 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| All India | average | 8 | 1.2 | 0.5 |

In Kerala, each primary worker is assigned with one zone in which 500 sub-survey division numbers (100 key plots and to each key plot, a cluster consisting of five sub-survey division numbers) are to be enumerated by him in each season.

Table – BCompletion of TRS Girdawari in time (%)

| Sl. No. | State | Early Kharif | Late Kharif | Rabi | Summer |
|---------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | | 8 | 27 | |
| 2 | Assam | 0 | 0 | | |
| 3 | Bihar | 0 | 0 | | 2 |
| 4 | Chhattisgarh | | 91 | 82 | |
| 5 | Gujarat | | 3 | 7 | |
| 6 | Haryana | | 99 | 99 | 81 |
| 7 | Himachal Pradesh | | 97 | 99 | |
| 8 | Jammu & Kashmir | | 80 | 72 | |
| 9 | Jharkhand | 8 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| 10 | Karnataka | | 82 | 73 | 74 |
| 11 | Kerala | 94 | 94 | | 99 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | | 90 | 89 | |
| 13 | Maharashtra | | 22 | 17 | |
| 14 | Odisha | 100 | 98 | | 98 |
| 15 | Punjab | | 43 | 43 | |
| 16 | Rajasthan | | 84 | 85 | |
| 17 | Tamil Nadu \$ | 90 | 86 | 88 | |
| 18 | Uttar Pradesh | | 58 | 59 | 58 |
| 19 | Uttarakhand | | 81 | 46 | 62 |
| 20 | West Bengal | 14 | 22 | 27 | 22 |
| 21 | Puducherry | | 83 | 89 | 61 |
| For | States covered | 47 | 53 | 50 | 40 |

Table – CSubmission of TRS statements in time (%)

| Sl. No. | State | Early Kharif | Late Kharif | Rabi | Summer |
|---------|------------------|--------------|-------------|------|--------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | | 6 | 16 | |
| 2 | Assam | 0 | 0 | | |
| 3 | Bihar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Chhattisgarh | | 89 | 77 | |
| 5 | Gujarat | | 1 | 3 | |
| 6 | Haryana | | 91 | 95 | 16 |
| 7 | Himachal Pradesh | | 32 | 86 | |
| 8 | Jammu & Kashmir | | 68 | 61 | |
| 9 | Jharkhand | 0 | | 4 | 2 |
| 10 | Karnataka | | 76 | 60 | 59 |
| 11 | Kerala | 84 | 88 | | 96 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | | 92 | 88 | |
| 13 | Maharashtra | | 19 | 14 | 6 |
| 14 | Odisha | 96 | 98 | | 97 |
| 15 | Punjab # | | 21 | 18 | |
| 16 | Rajasthan | | 55 | 49 | |
| 17 | Tamil Nadu | 87 | 87 | 85 | |
| 18 | Uttar Pradesh | | 30 | 22 | 18 |
| 19 | Uttarakhand | | 53 | 46 | 47 |
| 20 | West Bengal | 16 | 23 | 31 | 28 |
| 21 | Puducherry | | 50 | 100 | 89 |
| For S | tates covered | 46 | 43 | 39 | 27 |

The following table gives the percentage of experiments without use and improper use of the crop cutting equipment during 2013-14 as observed through ICS.

| | | Supply a | ndllea | of Equir | abie-D | r Cron | Cutting | Evporin | oonte | | |
|--------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|---------|------------|-----------|---|
| | | Suppry a | | JILQUI | Percent | tage of exp | eriments for | which | IIGHIIS | | |
| S. No. | State | Season | Concerne | d Primary supplie | y workers ed with | were not | Primary workers did not use the supplied items | | | | |
| | | | Таре | Pegs | Balance | Weight | Tape | Pegs | Balance | Weight | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | İ |
| 1 | Andhra | Kharif | 4 | 92 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 8 | |
| | Pradesh | Rabi | 5 | 84 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 15 | 14 | Ī |
| 2 | Assam | Early Kharif | 0 | 88 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | б | 6 | |
| | | Late Kharif | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | |
| | | Rabi | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | Summer | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | |
| 3 | Bihar | Autumn | 91 | <mark>91</mark> | 94 | 94 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | |
| | | Winter | <mark>85</mark> | 90 | 88 | 88 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 9 | Ī |
| | | Rabi | 73 | 80 | 77 | 77 | 25 | 16 | 22 | 22 | Ī |
| | | Summer | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | |
| 4 | Chhattisgarh | Kharif | 3 | 56 | 17 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 3 9 | 26 | Ī |
| | | Rabi | 6 | 56 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 20 | 40 | 36 | Ī |
| 5 | Gujarat | Kharif | 8 | 83 | 24 | 24 | 39 | 8 | 38 | 38 | |
| | | Rabi | 8 | 81 | 26 | 26 | 46 | 8 | 40 | 42 | Í |

Table-D

To be continued on next slide

| | | | Percentage of experiments for which | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|------|---------|--------|--|
| S. No. | State | Season | Concern | ned Prima supp | ary worker lied with | s were not | Primary workers did not use the supplied items | | | | |
| | | | Таре | Pegs | Balance | Weight | Таре | Pegs | Balance | Weight | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | |
| 6 | Haryana | Kharif | 11 | 91 | 78 | 82 | 32 | 5 | 18 | 14 | |
| | | Rabi | 13 | 90 | 87 | 89 | 36 | 10 | 11 | 11 | |
| 7 | Himachal | Kharif | 17 | 74 | 58 | 64 | 17 | 25 | 38 | 33 | |
| | Pradesh | Rabi | 16 | 67 | 50 | 58 | 16 | 31 | 50 | 42 | |
| 8 | Jammu & | Kharif | 72 | 76 | 82 | 82 | 22 | 5 | 13 | 13 | |
| | Kashmir | Rabi | 69 | 91 | 79 | 79 | 16 | 3 | 21 | 15 | |
| 9 | Jharkhand | Early Kharif | 35 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 35 | 16 | 16 | 16 | |
| | | Late Kharif | 43 | 72 | 75 | 80 | 30 | 14 | 12 | 7 | |
| | | Rabi | 49 | 72 | 74 | 73 | 24 | 7 | 5 | 6 | |
| 10 | Karnataka | Kharif | 37 | 81 | 40 | 41 | 22 | 6 | 24 | 24 | |
| | | Rabi | 38 | 74 | 38 | 39 | 23 | 6 | 26 | 25 | |
| | | Summer | 36 | 69 | 41 | 41 | 19 | 5 | 24 | 24 | |
| 11 | Kerala | Autumn | 0 | 30 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 18 | |
| | | Winter | 0 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 21 | 21 | |
| | | Summer | 7 | 21 | 14 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 9 | |
| 12 | Madhya | Kharif | 4 | 40 | 21 | 22 | 15 | 44 | 50 | 49 | |
| | Pradesh | Rabi | 3 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 12 | 34 | 38 | 37 | |

| | | | Percentage of experiments for which | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|------|-----------|--------|--|--|
| S. No. | State | Season | Concer | ned Prima suppli | ry worker ied with | s were not | Primary workers did not use the supplied items | | | | | |
| | | | Таре | Pegs | Balance | Weight | Tape | Pegs | Balance | Weight | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | | |
| 10 | | Kharif | 51 | 69 | 64 | 65 | 26 | 8 | 14 | 14 | | |
| 13 | Maharashtra | Rabi | 48 | 70 | <mark>62</mark> | 62 | 32 | 13 | 23 | 22 | | |
| | | Autumn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 14 | 14 Odisha | Winter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | Summer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 15 | Puniah | Kharif | 22 | 88 | 90 | 89 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| 10 | 15 Punjab | Rabi | 24 | 97 | 94 | 96 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 16 | Raiacthan | Kharif | 36 | 66 | 61 | 60 | 41 | 20 | 35 | 35 | | |
| 10 | ixajastiiaii | Rabi | 39 | 76 | 70 | 70 | 43 | 12 | 23 | 24 | | |
| 17 | Tamil Nadu | | 2 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 24 | 15 | 15 | | |
| 18 | Uttar | Kharif | 13 | 74 | 49 | 58 | 24 | 8 | 23 | 23 | | |
| | Pradesh | Rabi | 15 | 79 | 53 | 56 | 27 | 4 | 31 | 28 | | |
| | | Summer | 15 | 73 | 50 | 47 | 45 | 15 | 50 | 53 | | |
| 19 | Uttarakhand | Kharif | 3 | 44 | 14 | 35 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 18 | | |
| | 9 Uttarakhand Kl Ra | Rabi | 1 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 27 | - 33 | 35 | | |

| | | | | Percentage of experiments for which | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|--|------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| S. No. 1 20 21 Pu For Covered | State | Season | Concern | ied Primar suppli | y worker ed with | s were not | Primary workers did not use the supplied items | | | | | | |
| | | | Таре | Pegs | Balance | Weight | Таре | Pegs | Balance | Weight | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | | | |
| 20 | West | Autumn | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | Deligai | Winter | 0 | 77 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | | | |
| | | Rabi | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | | Summer | 1 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 21 | Puducherry | Kharif | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | | Rabi-I | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 25 | 38 | 38 | | | |
| | | Rabi-II | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| For Cove | States red | Early Kharif | 10 | 48 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| | | Late Kharif | 17 | 64 | 36 | 37 | 20 | 12 | 21 | 20 | | | |
| | | Rabi | 22 | 75 | 48 | 49 | 24 | 12 | 23 | 22 | | | |
| | | Summer | 9 | 41 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 10 | | | |
| Al | Seasons | | 18 | 65 | 37 | 38 | 20 | 11 | 20 | 19 | | | |

Table-E Errors in Area Reporting (%)

| S.No. | State | Autumn | Winter | Rabi | Summer |
|-------|------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | | 5 | 3 | |
| 4 | Chhattisgarh | | 5 | 7 | |
| 5 | Gujarat | | 12 | 8 | 28 |
| 6 | Haryana | | 13 | 8 | 57 |
| 7 | Himachal Pradesh | | 16 | 13 | |
| 8 | Jammu & Kashmir | | 6 | 9 | |
| 9 | Jharkhand | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | Karnataka | | 32 | 28 | 20 |
| 11 | Kerala | 17 | 15 | | 8 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | | 16 | 15 | |
| 13 | Maharashtra | | 33 | 22 | 30 |
| 14 | Odisha | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| 15 | Punjab | | 8 | 6 | |
| 16 | Rajasthan | | 21 | 16 | |
| 17 | Tamil Nadu | 38 | 19 | 29 | |
| 18 | Uttar Pradesh | | 25 | 15 | 21 |
| 19 | Uttarakhand | | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| 20 | West Bengal | 39 | 17 | 32 | 25 |
| 21 | Puducherry | | 10 | 51 | 0 |
| For | States Covered | 28 | 19 | 15 | 12 |

The table below indicates the position of different types of errors observed during the conduct of crop cutting experiments in respective seasons Table-F

Incidence of Errors in Conduct of Crop Cutting Experiments

| | | % of expts | % of expts. where error was noticed | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| S.no. | S.no. Season | Where no error was noticed | e ₁ | e ₂ | e ₃ | e ₄ | e ₅ | e ₆ | e ₇ | e ₈ | | |
| 1 | Autumn | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21 | | |
| 2 | Winter | 52 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 31 | | |
| 3 | Rabi | 54 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 38 | | |
| 4 | Summer | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 26 | | |

- e₁= Error in selection of survey/sub-survey nos.
- e_2 = Error in selection of field within survey/sub-survey nos.
- e₃= Error in measurement of field
- e₄= Error in selection of Random Nos.,Location and Marking of plots
- e₅= Error in weighment of produce
- e₆= Error in reporting ancillary information
- e₇= Inadequate arrangements for storing of produce for driage and incorrect reporting of constituents in crop mixture.
- e₈ = Others

ANNEX - XIV UNDER

COMPARISON OF ESTIMATES OF YIELD RATES UNDER

| ICS | AN. | DC | ES | DU | KIN | G 20 | 013-14 | |
|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|------|--------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |

| Sl. | State | | ICS | | | C.E.S. \$ | | Official | % Diff. | % Diff. |
|-----|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|------|--------------|------------------|-----|-----------|---------|---------|
| No. | | | | | | | | Estimated | (Col.4- | (Col.4- |
| | | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | Yield | Col.7/ | Col.9/ |
| | | | Yield | SE | | Yield | SE | Rate | Col.7 | Col.9 |
| | | Planned | Rate | | Planned | Rate | | Kg/Hac | x 100) | x 100) |
| | | | Kg/Hac | | | Kg/Hac | | Ũ | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | R | ICE (KHARI | F) | | | | |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh * | 600 | 2784 | 2.2 | 6780 | 2829 | 0.4 | 2552 | -1.59 | 9.09 |
| 2 | Assam (Aut)* | 200 | 1416 | 7.3 | 2000 | 1340 | 1.4 | 1753 | 5.67 | -19.22 |
| | Assam (Wint)* | 240 | 2286 | 2.8 | 3000 | 2002 | 1.9 | 1921 | 14.19 | 19.00 |
| 3 | Bihar (Aut/ Bhadai)* | 80 | 1793 | 4.2 | 840 | 1455 | NR | 1142 | 23.23 | 57.01 |
| | Bihar (Wint/Winter)* | 480 | 1862 | 4.7 | 80920 | 2246 | NR | 1865 | -17.10 | -0.16 |
| 4 | Chhattisgarh | 160 | 1727 | 5.0 | 3544 | 1859 | 1.5 | 1766 | -7.10 | -2.21 |
| 5 | Guiarat * | 160 | 1952 | 7.5 | 1818 | 2025 | 1.2 | 2038 | -3.60 | -4.22 |
| 6 | Harvana | 180 | 3414 | 23 | 990 | 3248 | 12 | 3256 | 5 11 | 4 85 |
| 7 | Himachal Pradesh* | 120 | 1771 | 16.3 | 994 | 1920 | 1.2 | 1625 | -7 76 | 8.98 |
| 8 | Jammu & Kashmir* | 140 | 2143 | 5.0 | 1214 | 2958 | 1.0 | 2250 | -27.55 | -4.76 |

| | | | | | | | | | ANN | <u>EX - XIV</u> |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | COMI | PARISON | OF ESTI | MATES O | FYIELD | RATES U | NDER | | |
| | | | Ι | CS AND C | ES DURI | NG 2013- | 14 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| SI. | State | | ICS | | | C.E.S. \$ | | Official | % Diff. | % Diff. |
| No. | | | | | | | | Estimated | (Col.4- | (Col.4- |
| | | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | Yield | Col.7 / | Col.9 / |
| | | | Yield | SE | | Yield | SE | Rate | Col.7 | Col.9 |
| | | Planned | Rate | | Planned | Rate | | Kg/Hac | x 100) | x 100) |
| | | | Kg/Hac | | | Kg/Hac | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | Jharkhand (Aut/E. | 40 | 1100 | - 0 | COO | 1000 | | 2220 | 2.00 | 45.05 |
| 9 | Kharif)* | 40 | 1180 | 7.0 | 690 | 1229 | 0.0 | 2238 | -3.99 | -47.27 |
| | Jharkhand (Wint/L. Kharif)* | 160 | 1611 | 4 2 | 11346 | 2111 | 0.0 | NA | -23 69 | NC |
| 10 | Kornotoko | 288 | 31/7 | 2.0 | 7906 | 3282 | 1.8 | 2666 | | 18.04 |
| 10 | Kerala | 200 | 5147 | 2.7 | 1700 | 5202 | 1.0 | 2000 | -4.11 | 10.04 |
| 11 | (Aut) | 200 | 2351 | 6.3 | 3760 | 2720 | 1.5 | 2625 | -13.57 | -10.44 |
| | Kerala (Wint) | 200 | 2322 | 7.1 | 5129 | 2833 | 0.9 | 2426 | -18.04 | -4.29 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | 200 | 1591 | 4.5 | 1972 | 2411 | 1.2 | 1474 | -34.01 | 7.94 |
| 13 | Maharasht ra * | 164 | 1501 | 7.8 | 5030 | 1731 | 0.9 | 1924 | -13.29 | -21.99 |
| 14 | Odisha (Aut) | 440 | 1408 | 2.7 | 7102 | 1463 | 0.7 | 762 | -3.76 | 84.78 |
| | Odisha (Wint) | 540 | 1712 | 2.3 | 11191 | 1739 | 0.5 | 1857 | -1.55 | -7.81 |
| 15 | Punjab | 380 | 4279 | 1.3 | 1978 | 3952 | NR | 3952 | 8.27 | 8.27 |

| | | | | | | | | | ANN | EX - XIV |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----|--------------|-----------|-----|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| COMPARISON OF ESTIMATES OF YIELD RATES UNDER | | | | | | | | | | |
| ICS AND CES DURING 2013-14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sl. | State | | ICS | | | C.E.S. \$ | | Official | % Diff. | % Diff. |
| No. | | | | | | | | Estimated | (Col.4- | (Col.4- |
| | | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | Yield | Col.7 / | Col.9 / |
| | | | Yield | SE | | Yield | SE | Rate | Col.7 | Col.9 |
| | | Planned | Rate | | Planned | Rate | | Kg/Hac | x 100) | x 100) |
| | | 2 | Kg/Hac | _ | | Kg/Hac | 0 | 0 | 10 | 44 |
| I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 16 | Tamil Nadu (Dhasa I) | 120 | 4200 | 2.2 | 420 | 4200 | 1.0 | ллло | 2 77 | 5 27 |
| 10 | (Pnase-1) | 120 | 4209 | 3.3 | 428 | 4329 | 1.0 | 4448 | -2.11 | -3.37 |
| | Tamil Nadu (Phase-II) | 280 | 3846 | 2.6 | 1260 | 3996 | 0.8 | 2848 | -3.75 | 35.04 |
| 17 | Uttar Pradesh * | 1320 | 2587 | 1.6 | 89582 | 2444 | NR | 2446 | 5.85 | 5.76 |
| 18 | Uttarakha nd * | 100 | 2659 | 3.9 | 2308 | 2871 | 0.9 | 2233 | -7.38 | 19.08 |
| 19 | West Bengal | | | | | | | | | |
| | E. Kharif | 200 | 2339 | 3.3 | 4826 | 2596 | 1.1 | 2612 | -9.90 | -10.45 |
| | L. Kharif | 320 | 2147 | 2.3 | 13268 | 2740 | 0.6 | NA | -21.64 | NC |
| 20 | Puducherr v (Kh.) * | 20 | 2720 | 0.0 | 68 | 3466 | 2.4 | 3056 | -21.52 | -10.99 |

| | | | | | | | | | ANN | <u>EX - XIV</u> |
|--|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----|--------------|-----------|-----|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| COMPARISON OF ESTIMATES OF YIELD RATES UNDER | | | | | | | | | | |
| ICS AND CES DURING 2013-14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| SI. | State | | ICS | | | C.E.S. \$ | | Official | % Diff. | % Diff. |
| No. | | | | | | | | Estimated | (Col.4- | (Col.4- |
| | | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | Yield | Col.7 / | Col.9 / |
| | | | Yield | SE | | Yield | SE | Rate | Col.7 | Col.9 |
| | | Planned | Rate | | Planned | Rate | | Kg/Hac | x 100) | x 100) |
| | | | Kg/Hac | | | Kg/Hac | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | RIC | CE (SUMMI | ER) | | | | |
| | Andhra | 2.40 | 2000 | | 5000 | 2501 | 0.4 | 2407 | 0.50 | 0 =0 |
| 1 | Pradesh | 340 | 3800 | 2.4 | 5298 | 3781 | 0.4 | 3496 | 0.50 | 8.70 |
| 2 | 4 | 00 | 2572 | 4.0 | 1500 | 2001 | 1.0 | 2572 | 10.02 | 20.00 |
| 2 | Assam * | 80 | 3572 | 4.0 | 1500 | 2981 | 1.2 | 2312 | 19.83 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Rihar * | 80 | 2463 | 1 0 | 440 | 23/2 | ND | 2342 | 5 17 | 5 17 |
| 3 | Dillai | 00 | 2403 | 1.7 | 440 | 2342 | | 2342 | 5.17 | 5.17 |
| 4 | Karnataka | 80 | 3472 | 57 | 670 | 2576 | 61 | 3077 | 34 78 | 12.84 |
| - | Isai nataka | 00 | 5412 | 0.1 | 070 | 2010 | 0.1 | 5011 | 54.70 | 12.01 |
| 5 | Kerala | 200 | 2615 | 7.1 | 2493 | 2933 | 2.0 | 2682 | -10.84 | -2.50 |
| • | | _00 | -010 | | | _>00 | | 2002 | 10001 | |
| 6 | Odisha | 280 | 3119 | 2.4 | 4611 | 3422 | 0.3 | 3422 | -8.85 | -8.85 |
| | Tamil | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Nadu | 80 | 5109 | 2.2 | 300 | 4838 | 1.4 | 3916 | 5.60 | 30.46 |
| | West | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Bengal | 280 | 3527 | 1.7 | 11647 | 3373 | 0.6 | 3366 | 4.57 | 4.78 |
| | Puducherr | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | y (Rabi-I)* | 20 | 3275 | 7.4 | 114 | 3083 | 2.0 | 3438 | 6.23 | -4.74 |
| | Puducherr | | | | | | | | | |
| | y(Rabi-II)* | 20 | 2677 | 9.2 | 58 | 3149 | 3.2 | NA | -14.99 | NC |

| | | | | | | | | | AININ | <u>EX - XIV</u> |
|--|----------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| COMPARISON OF ESTIMATES OF YIELD RATES UNDER | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ι | CS AND C | CES DURI | NG 2013- | 14 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sl. | State | | ICS | | | C.E.S. \$ | | Official | % Diff. | % Diff. |
| No. | | | | | | | | Estimated | (Col.4- | (Col.4- |
| | | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | Yield | Col.7 / | Col.9 / |
| | | | Yield | SE | | Yield | SE | Rate | Col.7 | Col.9 |
| | | Planned | Rate | | Planned | Rate | | Kg/Hac | x 100) | x 100) |
| | | | Kg/Hac | | | Kg/Hac | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | SUCADCANE | | | | | | | | | |
| | Andhra | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Pradesh | 120 | 73173 | 4.4 | 2992 | 79554 | 0.7 | 80130 | -8.02 | -8.68 |
| 2 | A | 90 | N.] | P. | 700 | 2(0(0 | 0.1 | 27070 | NC | NC |
| 2 | Assam ** | 80 | | | /00 | 30909 | 0.1 | 3/069 | NC | NC |
| 3 | Bihar * | 80 | 58420 | 9.3 | 740 | 49924 | NR | 49929 | 17.02 | 17.01 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Haryana * | 100 | 74896 | 3.3 | 1070 | 73535 | NR | 73520 | 1.85 | 1.87 |
| 5 | Karnataka | 80 | 101778 | 6.1 | 1060 | 97000 | 6.0 | 90250 | 4.93 | 12.77 |
| | Maharasht | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | ra * | 136 | 103787 | 8.1 | 10278 | 89000 | 0.4 | 82072 | 16.61 | 26.46 |
| 7 | Punjab | 140 | 73838 | 2.3 | 686 | 6197 | NR | 75000 | 1091.51 | -1.55 |
| ø | Dejecther | 80 | 61621 | 4.2 | 450 | 69075 | 22 | NIA | 6 21 | NC |
| 0 | Kajastilali Tamil | 00 | 04024 | 4.2 | 430 | 00975 | 2.3 | INA | -0.31 | NC |
| 9 | Nadu | 100 | 107771 | 3.4 | 468 | 104000 | 1.2 | 103687 | 3.63 | 3.94 |
| | Uttar | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Pradesh* | 400 | 59302 | 3.0 | 9500 | 63778 | NR | 60452 | -7.02 | -1.90 |
| 11 | Uttarakha nd * | 80 | 48160 | 9.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57106 | 0 | -15.67 |

TINY

| | | | | | | | | | L A | NNEX - XIV |
|--|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| COMPARISON OF ESTIMATES OF YIELD RATES UNDER | | | | | | | | | | |
| ICS AND CES DURING 2013-14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| SI. | State | | ICS | | | C.E.S. \$ | | Official | % Diff. | % Diff. |
| No. | | | | | | | | Estimated | (Col.4- | (Col.4- |
| | | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | No.of Expts. | Estimated | % | Yield | Col.7 / | Col.9 / |
| | | | Yield | SE | | Yield | SE | Rate | Col.7 | Col.9 |
| | J | Planned | Rate | F | Planned | Rate | | Kg/Hac | x 100) | x 100) |
| | | | Kg/Hac | | | Kg/Hac | <u>^</u> | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | | WHEAT | | | | | |
| 1 | Assam * | 10 | ک 1702 | 6.5 | 850 | 1292 | 3.1 | 500 | 31.73 | 240.40 |
| 2 | Bihar * | 46/ | d 2959 |) NR | 80990 | 2855 | , NR | 2358 | 3.64 | 25.49 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Chhattisgarh | 1 8/ |) 1109 | 9.0 | 2074 | 1358 | 3.3 | 1304 | -18.34 | -14.95 |
| 4 | Gujarat * | 30(|) 2587 | / 4.2 | 2646 | 2876 | 0.7 | 3255 | -10.05 | -20.52 |
| 5 | Haryana | 36 | d 4692 | 1.3 | 2200 | 4722 | 0.4 | 4722 | -0.64 | -0.64 |
| | Himachal | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Pradesh | 22(| J 1935 | ş 4.1 | 1772 | 2174 | 1.4 | 1873 | -10.99 | 3.31 |
| | Jammu & | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Kashmir* | 240 |) 1789 | 3.5 | 1932 | 1970 | 0.0 | 2061 | -9.19 | -13.20 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 |
| 8 | Jharkhand * | 81 |) 2115 | 5.8 | 7792 | 1611 | 0.0 | 2123 | 31.28 | -0.38 |
| 0 | | 10 | | | 2702 | 1000 | 21 | 1005 | 10.20 | 11 (4 |
| 9 | Karnataka * | 100 |) 888 | 0.9 | 2192 | 1099 | 5.5 | 1005 | -19.20 | -11.04 |
| 10 | Madhya Brodoch | 50 | 2400 | 30 | 10500 | 2544 | 20 | 2405 | 5 42 | 0.04 |
| 10 | Pradesn | 500 |) 2400 | | 10390 | 2344 | 2.0 | 2405 | -5.42 | 0.04 |
| 11 | Manarasnu a * | 30 | 0 184(| 5.2 | 8708 | 1757 | 0.5 | 1460 | 5.07 | 26 44 |
| 12 | Puniah | 44 | 0 501/ | 1 0.5 | 2290 | 5017 | I NR | 5017 | -0.06 | -0.06 |
| 12 | Fuijao Dojesthen | 26 | a 317(| 4 7 | 11918 | 3437 | | 3083 | -0.00 | -0.00 |
| 13 | Najasulan Uttor | 200 | 1 5170 | 7.4 | 11710 | 5757 | 0.0 | 5005 | -7.77 | 2.02 |
| | Pradesh* | 114 | 0 3072 | , 1.5 | 112752 | 3110 | NR | 3038 | -1.22 | 1.12 |
| | Trucon | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Uttarakhand | * 12 | 0 2515 | 5 7.5 | 2228 | 2352 | 1.0 | 2422 | 6.93 | 3.84 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | West Bengal | 22(| 0 2751 | 2.5 | 3143 | 2807 | 0.5 | , 2791 | -2.00 | -1.43 |

| Note:- | 1) @ = Combined estimates prepared due to low response |
|--------|--|
| | 2) * = Central sample data repeated as state sample estimates not prepared due to low response |
| | 3) ** = Both Central & State Estimates not prepared due to low response |
| | 4) \$ = CES data pertains to the year 2013-14. |
| | 5) # = In Tamil Nadu, for the year as a whole (Total of all seasons). |
| | 6) N.P. = Not prepared, N.C.= Not Calculated, NR = Not reported |
| | 7) The CES figures though reported against pooled figures are based on the experiments analysed in totality by the State Govt. |
| | 8) NA = Not Applicable |

Table-GLast HLCC Meetings held in various States

| Name of the State | Date of HLCC Meeting | Name of the State | Date of HLCC Meeting |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Assam | 08.10.2015 | Madhya Pradesh | 10.10.2012 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 06.10.2007 | Maharashtra | 09.02.2016 |
| Bihar | 11.11.2014 | Odisha | 16.10.2015 |
| Chhattisgarh | 22.05.2015 | Punjab* | 19.07.2005 |
| Gujarat | 04.08.2015 | Rajasthan | 24.04.2015 |
| Haryana | 22.12.2015 | Tamil Nadu | 25.04.2007 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 25.02.2016 | Uttar Pradesh | 18.11.2014 |
| J&K | 04.07.1996 | Uttarakhand | 03.07.2013 |
| Jharkhand | 22.12.2010 | West Bengal | 05.07.2011 |
| Karnataka | 22.08.2013 | Puducherry | 29.09.2008 |
| Kerala | 04.03.2016 | Telangana | 05.11.2014 |

Thank you